Conclusions: Complete LA posterior wall isolation on top of PV is associated with good outcomes in patients with persistent and long-standing persistent AF when performed using meticulous bidirectional isolation criteria and adenosine infusion. Recurrences occur predominately as perimital flutter, without gaps in the posterior wall.

Methods: Between January and December 2013, 25 patients (72% male, age 65 ± 12 years) with persistent or longstanding persistent AF refractory to at least one antiarrhythmic underwent catheter ablation. Mean AF duration was 11 ± 3 months. Patients using warfarin were oriented to maintain its use and ablation was performed on therapeutic INR (between 2.0 and 3.0). Patients using novel anticoagulants (Rivaroxaban or Dabigatran) were oriented to withdraw these drugs 24-48 hours before the procedure. All patients had evidence of left atrial enlargement (mean LA diameter 4.8 ± 0.4 mm). The procedure has been performed under general anesthesia and during systemic anticoagulation with heparin, maintaining activated clotting times into a previously acquired CT scan, obtained 2 to 5 days before the procedure. An electroanatomical mapping system (Carto 3 - Biosense Webster, Diamond Bar, CA or NaVx Velocity, St. Jude Medical, Sylmar – CA) was used to create a 3D model of left atrium, subsequently merged into a previously acquired CT scan, obtained 2 to 5 days before the procedure in order to ensure fusion reliability.

After the two transeptal punctures, performed under fluoroscopy and intracardiac echocardiography guidance, a circular mapping catheter was placed inside the pulmonary veins and a wide antral ablation line was created around each pair of ipsilateral pulmonary veins using a cooled tip ablation catheter (temperature-controlled – 43°C, 30-35W with 30ml/min infusion rate). After complete PVI, a “Roof Line” was created between the top of each contralateral pulmonary veins using a similar approach to the pulmonary veins and posterior wall, surrounding the pulmonary veins.
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set of lesions and a “floor line” closed the posterior wall in a “Box” fashion, connecting the bottom of each set of contralateral lesions (figure 2). The end-point of the procedure was complete isolation of the posterior wall, characterized by electrical silence (figure 3) or dissociated potentials (figure 4) in the posterior wall using the multiple poles of the circular mapping catheter, and the inability capture the atrium by pacing in the posterior wall after return to sinus rhythm (figures 5 and 6). Power titration was performed according to esophageal temperature and RF lesions interrupted when ≥ 39º was reached.

After sinus rhythm resumption, gaps in the lines were mapped (figure 7) and dormant conduction was checked in the circular catheter by adenosine infusion (figure 8). We used 18mg rapid bolus while monitoring each pulmonary vein and the posterior wall using the circular mapping catheter. A high dose of intravenous isoproterenol (20 μg/ min) was infused during ten minutes, aiming at triggering ectopic foci located outside the pulmonary veins, which, when present, were mapped and ablated. Finally, the cavotricuspid isthmus was ablated to prevent typical atrial flutter and the superior vena cava was isolated if there wasn’t phrenic nerve capture by the distal dipole of ablation catheter using 20V output.

Right after withdrawing the sheaths from the left atrium, systemic heparinization was reverted with protamine to achieve an ACT < 200 seconds. Vascular compression was performed for 20 minutes, and compression was maintained for six hours.

Antiarrhythmic drugs and oral anticoagulation were continued for 1 and 3 months, respectively, after the procedure. Ambulatory follow-up was performed at 1, 3, 6 and 12 months. 7-day holter monitoring and 12 lead ECGs were performed on a regular basis and in case of symptoms.

**Results**

PVI and posterior wall isolation was achieved in all patients. Dormant conduction was detected in 12 pts (48%) after adenosine challenge and was mapped to the roof (4 pts), inferior (5 pts) and PV circumferential lines (3 pts). Further ablation abolished dormant conduction in all cases by targeting the earliest electrogram in the circular catheter, which was placed wide open in the posterior wall (25mm), covering almost entirely the box lesion set.

All patients experienced esophageal temperature elevations during RF to perform the inferior line. We stopped ablation whenever it reached 39 degrees and power was titrated down. Several short cycles of RF were performed until the lines were complete and the posterior wall was isolated.

No intra-procedural complications were detected. Mean left atrial ablation time was of 40±9 min. Reversion to sinus rhythm during ablation occurred in 4 patients (20%). Sinus rhythm was restored by electrical cardioversion in all others. The decision to stop or maintain long term oral anticoagulation was taken using our post-AF ablation management protocol.

After an average follow-up of 16 ± 2 months, 20 patients (80%) were free of any atrial arrhythmia recurrences (18 of whom off drugs). Five patients (20%) had sustained atypical flutter and required a new ablation procedure. All these patients had mitral isthmus dependent flutters and no electrical conduction in the PVs or posterior wall were detected. An endocardial and coronary sinus mitral isthmus line interrupted the arrhythmia in all. 2 patients (40%) had atrial flutter recurrences and were rescheduled for a new ablation procedure.

No complications were detected during follow-up and specifically we had not observed an increase in esophageal symptoms due to the more extensive posterior RF lesions.

**Discussion**

PVI is the cornerstone of catheter ablation of AF, being effective in elimination of the initiation triggers in paroxysmal AF, with a success rates ranging from 60% to 80%. The most common
Free rate after the first procedure was 44%. After 21 months of follow-up, 63% of patients maintained sinus rhythm without antiarrhythmic drugs. We further tested the lines by pacing maneuvers and adenosine infusion – whenever transient conduction was detected, further ablation lesions were placed until its elimination.

Although the ablation approach we used seemed rational, because of proven entry and exit block, different strategies have also shown positive outcomes. Lim and colleagues\(^{15}\) showed that a single ring ablation around the four PVs, passing through the anterior aspect of the veins, left atrial roof and lower posterior wall is an option to wide antral PVI, with lower 2-year AF recurrence rates (74% vs. 61%, respectively). When the recurrences of organized atrial tachyarrhythmias were evaluated, the group undergoing single ring isolation plus a mitral isthmus line had the best 2-year arrhythmia-free rate (71% vs. 60%). This speaks for a possible role of left posterior wall in maintaining AF despite PVI. Another advantage of this technique is the relatively low use of RF in the posterior wall, with less risk for esophageal thermal injury.\(^{16}\)

Providencia and colleagues\(^{17}\) reported the use of a single ring left atrial posterior wall isolation in a patient with an unusual anatomical variant of PV drainage. In this case, a common inferior trunk posed difficulties to a traditional approach. So, a triangle-shaped single lesion encircling all PVs (right superior, left superior and the common inferior trunk) allowed complete isolation, maintaining sinus rhythm after 3 months of follow-up.

The impact of a potential autonomic modulation and changes in sympathovagal balance caused by posterior wall isolation has also been evaluated. Yamaguchi et al\(^{18}\) performed a series of 92 PVI and posterior wall isolations (82% Paroxysmal AF) using non-contact mapping and undergoing ambulatory holter Heart Rate Variability evaluation at 3, 6 and 12 months after the procedure. Long-term heart rate variability attenuations were observed in all patients without AF recurrence, but not in those with AF recurrences. These findings were maintained at 12 months in patients with no AF recurrence, suggesting a possible contribution of autonomic modulation to sinus rhythm maintenance.

**Conclusion:**

Complete LA posterior wall isolation on top of PVI is associated with good outcomes in patients with persistent and long-standing persistent AF when performed using meticulous bidirectional technique for PVI includes lesions placed at the antral level, far from the pulmonary veins.\(^{11,12}\) However, in long standing AF PVI is frequently not enough to prevent AF recurrences and further linear lesions are required. The role of these in paroxysmal AF have been studied\(^4\) but due to different techniques and outcomes evaluated, lack of confidence about the use of these linear lesions arose among electrophysiologists.

Tamborero et al\(^{13}\) reported a randomized comparison between 120 patients with paroxysmal and persistent AF submitted to AF ablation through wide antral PVI with or without superior and inferior lines connecting the contralateral lesions, creating a box lesion that isolated the whole posterior wall. After 9.8 months of follow-up there was no difference in arrhythmia-free survival between the two strategies. However, non-paroxysmal AF patients accounted for less than 30% of cases. Furthermore, isolation of at least one pulmonary vein or the posterior wall was unsuccessful in 9% of the patients.

In our series, all patients had persistent or long standing persistent AF with LA remodeling. In this scenario, the role of the posterior wall in AF maintenance might be important. Recently, Sanders and colleagues\(^{14}\) have reported a long term evaluation of 27 chronic AF cases with successful isolation of the posterior wall and PVs. The procedure was performed in a very similar fashion as we did, with PVs isolated in pairs and connected by roof and floor lines. The AF-free rate after the first procedure was 44%. After 21 months of follow-up, 63% of patients maintained sinus rhythm without antiarrhythmic drugs. We further tested the lines by pacing maneuvers and adenosine infusion – whenever transient conduction was detected, further ablation lesions were placed until its elimination.
isolation criteria and adenosine infusion.

Recurrences occur predominately as perimtrial flutter, without gaps in the posterior wall, suggesting that the previously reported increased risk of organized atrial tachyarrhythmias after posterior wall box isolation may be related to incomplete posterior lines. However, the value of prophylactic mitral isthmus line complementing the box lesion at the first procedure is yet to be proven.
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